UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/555,862                  | 11/07/2005                         | Masanobu Yamamoto    | 0951-0174PUS1       | 2558             |
|                             | 7590 10/08/200<br>ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PO BOX 747                  |                                    | ROTH, LAURA K        |                     |                  |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                             |                                    |                      | 2852                |                  |
|                             |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|                             |                                    |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |                                    |                      | 10/08/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

| Office Action Summary  |   | Application      | n No.  | Applicant(s)       |              |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------|--|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/555,86        | 2  | YAMAMOTO ET AL.    |              |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner         |  | Art Unit           |              |  |  |  |
|  |   | Laura K. R       | oth  | 2852               |              |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>or Reply   | ppears on the    | cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence a    | ddress       |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| 1)[\   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.   | June 2008        |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| <i>'</i> =   | / <del></del>   |                  |  | secution as to th  | e merits is  |  |  |  |
| ٥/ڪ  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  | •                |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| · ·  | · _   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are allowed.  |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are objected to:  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | or alastian re   | auiromont  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| اـــا(٥  | ciaiii(s) are subject to restriction and/   | or election re   | quirement.   |                    |              |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| 9)🛛  | The specification is objected to by the Examin  | ner.             |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| 10)🛛   | The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2005</u> is/   | /are: a)⊠ ad     | cepted or b)  object   | ed to by the Exar  | miner.       |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | e drawing(s) b   | e held in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |              |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | ction is require | ed if the drawing(s) is ob   | ected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |                  |  |                    |              |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic 3) Inform  | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/7/2005.   |                  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate                |              |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2852

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-20 are allowable. The restriction requirement between the species, as set forth in the Office action mailed on 19 March 2008, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Claims 9-20, directed to non-elected species, are no longer withdrawn from consideration because the claim(s) requires all the limitations of an allowable claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 2852

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4, 7, 12, 13, 16, 18, 19 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- Claim 1 recites the limitation "the transport point" in lines 6-7. There is
  insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears as
  though the applicants may have intended the phrase to be "the transfer
  point". Please amend appropriately.
- Claim 4 recites the limitation "the writing point" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 7 recites the limitation "the magnification" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 12 recites the limitation "the writing point" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2852

 Claim 13 recites the limitation "the writing point" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- Claim 16 recites the limitation "the magnification" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 18 recites the limitation "the magnification" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 19 recites the limitation "the magnification" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 20 recites the limitation "the magnification" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- The applicants are advised to check for any other possible errors which may need to be addressed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "wherein when the size of the image on the image carrier is larger than the size of the sheet transported toward the transfer point, the size of the image on the image carrier to be transferred to the midstream of the sheet after detection of the sheet edge position by the detecting means is changed, based on the detection results from the detecting means, and subsequent image formation to the sheet continues to be performed according to this image on the image carrier whose size has been changed" in combination with the remaining claim elements as set forth in claims 1-20.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura K. Roth whose telephone number is (571)272-2154. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David M. Gray can be reached on (571)272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2852

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David M Gray/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2852

/LKR/ 9/29/2008